

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,278	01/16/2002	C. Jane Robinson	06478.1463	2377
75	90 06/24/2004		EXAM	INER
Finnegan, Hen	derson, Farabow,		WEBER	, JON P
Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. 1300 I Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/046,278	ROBINSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jon P Weber, Ph.D.	1651	
David d	The MAILING DATE of this commun.	ication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
Period fo	• •		MONTH(C) FROM	
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mowill, by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>10 June 2004</u> .		
	•	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)	Claim(s) 7-16 is/are pending in the a	application.		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai	re withdrawn from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>7-16</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
		•	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.		
	<u> </u>	documents have been received in	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		of the priority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage	
* (application from the internation See the attached detailed Office action	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ot received	
`	see the attached detailed Office action	Tior a list of the certified copies he	or received.	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Status of the Claims

The response with amendments filed 10 June 2004 have been received and entered.

Claims 7-16 have now been presented for examination.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 7 and 10-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

It is argued that the Office has not met its burden by sound scientific reasoning or evidence of a prima facie case for non-enablement for "prophylaxis". It is further argued that Abdulkadir et al. provide evidence that a drug that inhibits cell proliferation would be given to a persons suffering from angiogenesis or arteriogenesis as well as those at risk from these conditions.

The burden on the Office to establish non-enablement for prophylaxis can be satisfied with sound scientific reasoning especially in view of the extravagance of the claims. The instant claims read on preventing cancer, for example, a disease state for which there is not only no known cure, but for which there is no known method of prevention. As remarked in the Office action, prophylaxis is essentially the same thing as prevention. To establish a minimum level of

prophylaxis, it is necessary to compare the response to challenge of the treatment versus lack of treatment and demonstrate in at least a model system with nexus to the disease to be treated that there is some satisfactory level of prevention. The fact that the method can be used to reduce angiogenesis/arteriogenesis as shown by the disclosure and Abdulkadir et al. was recognized by the Office and indicated by not rejecting claim 8 drawn to treatment for non-enablement. A *prima facie* case was made in the Office action of 30 October 2003, it was not simply a conclusion without reasoning.

Applicant's arguments filed 10 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph is adhered to for the reasons of record and the additional reasons above.

Claims 7-16 are now rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Changing the language in claims 7-8 from "caused" to "characterized by" indicates a different level of impact for angiogenesis/arteriogenesis in the disease to be treated from an initiating event to a symptom of the disease. Effectively, this confounds the claim by juxtaposing prophylaxis of the disease with the treatment of a symptom.

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,278

Art Unit: 1651

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon P Weber, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0925. The examiner can normally be reached on daily, off 1st Fri, 9/5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,278

Art Unit: 1651

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at \$66-217-9197 (to/l-free).

Jon P Weber, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651

JPW

22 June 2004